

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

	1			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,920	06/26/2001	Kenneth A. Nicoll	8716.00	1995
26884 PAUL W MA	26884 7590 03/29/2010 PAUL W. MARTIN		EXAMINER	
NCR CORPORATION, LAW DEPT.			MONFELDT, SARAH M	
3097 Satellite Blvd., 2nd Floor Duluth, GA 30096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3684	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/29/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	
4	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
5	AND INTERFERENCES
6	
7	
8	Ex parte KENNETH A. NICOLL,
9	MARK M. GROSSI, and
10	GRANT C. PATON
11	
12	
13	Appeal 2009-009101
14	Application 09/891,920
15	Technology Center 3600
16	
17	
18	Decided: March 26, 2010
19	
20	D.A. MURRIER E. CRAMEORR ANTONIM EETHING. ARRIVAN
21	Before MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, ANTON W. FETTING, and BIBHU R.
22	MOHANTY, Administrative Patent Judges.
23	FETTING, Administrative Patent Judge.
24	DECISION ON APPEAL

7

9

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- Kenneth A. Nicoll, Mark M. Grossi, and Grant C. Paton (Appellants)
  seek review under 35 U.S.C. § 134 (2002) of a final rejection of claim 1, the
  only claim pending in the application on appeal.
- We have jurisdiction over the appeal pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 6(b) (2002).

#### SUMMARY OF DECISION<sup>1</sup>

8 We AFFIRM.

#### THE INVENTION

The Appellants invented a self-service terminal capable of dispensing multiple media types through multiple media pick and dispense mechanisms (Specification 1:4-7).

An understanding of the invention can be derived from a reading of exemplary claim 1, which is reproduced below [bracketed matter and some paragraphing added].

## 1. A self-service terminal comprising:

a plurality of separate media modules, each media module being operatively associated with a separate pick mechanism for picking media from the media module and transferring the picked media to a media dispense path, at least a first one of the media modules being associated with a separate vacuum pick

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Our decision will make reference to the Appellants' Appeal Brief ("App. Br.," filed October 29, 2008) and the Examiner's Answer ("Ans.," mailed December 9, 2008), and Final Rejection ("Final Rej.," mailed June 5, 2008).

Graef

mechanism, and at least a second one of the media modules 1 being associated with a separate friction pick mechanism. 2 3 THE REJECTIONS 4 5 The Examiner relies upon the following prior art: Graef et al. US 6,484,380 B2 Nov. 26, 2002 Lynch et al. US 6,029,971 Feb. 29, 2000 Sevak et al US 3,961,784 Jun. 8, 1976 6 7 Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Graef, Lynch, and Sevak. 8 9 ISSUE 10 The issue of whether the Examiner erred in rejecting claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Graef, Lynch, and Sevak turns on 11 whether the prior art describes a first media module associated with a 12 vacuum pick mechanism and a second media module associated with a 13 14 friction pick mechanism. FACTS PERTINENT TO THE ISSUES 15 The following enumerated Findings of Fact (FF) are believed to be 16 17 supported by a preponderance of the evidence. Facts Related to the Prior Art 18

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 12

14

18

19

20

21

22

- 01. Graef is directed to an automated transaction machine (ATM) including a note delivery mechanism and sheet transport (Graef 1:11-14).
- 02. Graef describes an ATM that includes four sheet dispensing mechanisms that are responsive to the controller to pick sheets (Graef 7:26-29). Canisters are used to house sheets, such as bank notes, coupons, scrip, tickets, money orders or other items of value (Graef 7:42-47). The controller operates the dispenser mechanism to cause sheets to be selectively dispensed from the canisters (Graef 7:47-51). Notes are engaged with a transport 10 mechanisms that consists of a plurality of belts and rollers (Graef 7:53-59). The picking members include an outer surface of ribbed relatively high friction portion that is used in picking (Graef 13 10:16-32). The picked sheets are transported from a first transport to a second transport (Graef 7:58-61). The second transport also 15 includes a plurality of continuous belts and rollers (Graef 7:62-16 17 67). When a desired number of notes have been collected in a stack in the second transport, the stack is moved toward a user (Graef 8:3-8).
  - 03. Graef further describes that the picking member is readily retrofit to an existing automated banking machine (Graef 13:64-67).
- 23 Lvnch

Lynch is directed to a sheet feeding apparatus for picking sheets 1 2 one by one from a stack of sheets and moving the picked sheets away from the stack (Lynch 1:4-6). 3 05. Lynch describes that sheet feeding apparatus are commonly 4 include either the vacuum pick or friction pick types (Lynch 1:7-5 8). Vacuum pick systems separate a first sheet from the rest of the 6 sheets using a suction member and are particularly advantageous 7 for sheets that are non-porous (Lynch 1:8-12). Lynch further 8 describes a sheet feeding apparatus of the friction pick type that is 9 highly tolerant to wear (Lynch 2:15-19). 10 Sevak 11 Sevak is directed to a document transport apparatus in a 06. 12 document reader sorter with a vacuum assisted friction feeder for 13 14 picking documents (Sevak: abstract). 15 07. Sevak describes a picking system that involves using a low pressure vacuum to lock a leading document or sheet against a belt 16 (Sevak 5:39-44). A high pressure vacuum advances the leading 17 document at high speed into a document transport guideway 18 19 (Sevak 5:45-64). PRINCIPLES OF LAW 20 21 Obviousness 22 A claimed invention is unpatentable if the differences between it and the prior art are "such that the subject matter as a whole would have been 23 obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill 24

in the art." KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398, 406 (2007); Graham
 v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 13-14 (1966).

In *Graham*, the Court held that that the obviousness analysis is bottomed on several basic factual inquiries: "[(1)] the scope and content of the prior art are to be determined; [(2)] differences between the prior art and the claims at issue are to be ascertained; and [(3)] the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art resolved." *Graham*, 383 U.S. at 17. *See also KSR*, 550 U.S. at 406. "The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results." *Id.* at 416.

#### ANALYSIS

Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Graef, Lynch, and Sevak

The Appellants contend that Graef, Lynch, and Sevak fail to describe a self-service terminal which comprises both a vacuum pick mechanism which is associated with one of a plurality of separate media modules and a separate friction pick mechanism which is associated with another one of the plurality of separate media modules (App. Br. 3-4).

We disagree with the Appellants. Claim 1 requires in part a first media module associated with a vacuum pick mechanism and a second media module associated with a friction pick mechanism.

Graef describes an automated teller machine (ATM) that uses four sheet dispensing mechanisms for multiple media types (FF 02). Graef further describes the use of a friction picking type that selects and dispenses media from the ATM (FF 02). Lynch also describes a friction pick type ATM

## Appeal 2009-009101 Application 09/891,920

- machine and further illustrates that vacuum pick systems use a suction
- 2 member to separate a first sheet from a stack of sheets (FF 05). Sevak
- describes a document feeder with a vacuum pick type (FF 07). As such, the
- 4 combination of Graef, Lynch, and Sevak describe both a vacuum pick
- 5 mechanism and a friction pick mechanism for document feeders and ATM
- 6 machines.

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

Graef also describes an ATM that consists of multiple transports used for
 moving media throughout the machine and for dispensing media out of the

9 machine (FF 02). Graef further describes that this friction picking member

 $_{\rm 10}$   $\,$  can be retrofit to be implemented in other existing ATM machines (FF 03).

 $\,$  11  $\,$   $\,$  The use of multiple transports and the ability to implement a friction pick

system in to other machines suggests the use of multiple pick members that

13 operate independent and separate of each other in the same ATM machine.

As such, the combination of Graef, Lynch, and Sevak describe a first media module associated with a vacuum pick mechanism and a second media

module associated with a friction pick mechanism as required by claim 1.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Examiner did not err in rejecting claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Graef, Lynch, and Sevak.

### 21 DECISION

- 22 To summarize, our decision is as follows.
- The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable
  over Graef, Lynch, and Sevak is sustained.

# Appeal 2009-009101 Application 09/891,920

1	
2	No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this
3	appeal may be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(1)(iv).
4	
5	AFFIRMED
3	ATTINIED
6	
7	
8	
9	mev
10	
	Address
11	Address
12	PAUL W. MARTIN
13	NCR CORPORATION, LAW DEPT.
14	3097 Satellite Blvd., 2nd Floor
15	Duluth GA 30096